

---

## MSP Steering Committee

Meeting #9

Thursday 23 & Friday 24 March 2017

0900-1200 hrs.

Location: ICCS Room 2

---

## Minutes

### Meeting Objectives:

1. To receive an update on the MSP Process and SeyCCAT
2. To provide input to MSP outputs including MSP Zoning Design version 3.0 and allowable activities table

### Attendance:

Wills Agricole, PS Energy & Climate change MSP SC Co-Chair  
Alain de Comarmond, PS Environment- MSP SC Co-Chair  
Fadette Khan, Ministry of Finance, Trade and Blue Economy- MSP SC Member  
Patrick Samson, PetroSeychelles, MSP Member Representing & Co-Chair Socio-economic TWG  
Andrew Grieser-Johns, PCU Programme Coordinator/Chief Technical Advisor  
Joanna Prosper, PCU GoS-UNDP GEF Outer Island Project Manager  
Jan Robinson, SWIOFISH3- MSP SC Observer  
Denis Matatiken, Special Advisor to Minister MEECC  
Andy Rylance, PCU GoS-UNDP-GEF PA Finance Project- MSP SC Observer  
Daig Romain- PCU GoS-UNDP-GEF PA Finance Project- MSP SC Observer  
Justin Prosper, MEECC- MSP Data Manager  
Glenny Savy, IDC- MSP Member, representing tourism  
Jeanne Mortimer, ICS- MSP Member & Vice Chair Terrestrial Ecology TWG  
Keith Andre, FBOA- MSP SC Member & Co-Chair Socio-economic TWG  
Virginie Lagarde, FBOA- MSP SC alternate member representing Fisheries Sector  
Aubrey Lesperance, SFA- MSP SC Member representing Fisheries Sector  
Paul Morin- FBOA, Sea Cucumber Association  
Iris Carolus- MSP Admin Assistant  
Joanna Smith, TNC- MSP Project Science & Process Lead  
Helena Sims, TNC- MSP Project Manager

### Absent:

David Rowat, MCSS-MSP SC Member & Chairperson Marine Ecology TWG  
Pierre-Andre Adam, ICS- MSP SC Member & Vice-Chair Marine Ecology TWG  
Bernice Elizabeth, Dept. of Tourism- MSP SC member representing tourism sector  
Flavien Joubert, SNPA- MSP SC Member representing tourism and marine ecology sector  
Frauke Dogley, SIF- MSP SC member & Chairperson Terrestrial Ecology TWG  
Paul Hodoul, Tourism- MSP SC member & Co-Chair Socio-economic TWG  
Elisa Socrate, SFA- MSP SC Member representing Fisheries Sector  
Cynthia Aglae, MLUH- MSP Member, representing coastal development  
Ronny Renaud, IDC- Alternate MSP member, representing tourism  
Dominique Benzaken, MFTBE- Invited as potential new SC alternate member/observer (Blue Economy)

## Minutes

Agenda Item	Comment/Advice	Action_Response (with initials)
1.1. Agenda Review	Agenda was reviewed and adopted.	
1.2. Confirm Steering Committee member list	SFA representation Jan Robinson now observer SWIOFISH3	
	Observer Vs member Member- stakeholder contains the group that is affected by the decision. Observer livelihood now affected by the MSP. Observer finite project. Updates to the ToR was presented to the committee. Members no objection as long as observers may intervene	
	Nomination required from MSP WG in next meeting and to bring to next meeting.	
	Nomination required from SMSA/Coastguard	
1.3. Approval of Steering Committee #8 minutes	Minutes of SC meeting #8 was reviewed; adopted without changes.	
2.1. Updates from MSP SC members	The SC was notified that the Environment social impact assessment has been approved following public display. The presentation to Cabinet was on 22 <sup>nd</sup> Feb. A high level committee has been set up to assist with moving with the project.	
	The mid-term review of the Outer Island Project has been completed. Some targets in the Log-Frame have been changed. Some indicators are aligned with the MSP targets. Push for finalising on PA Legislation.	
	The Protected Areas finance plan is ready following a validation workshop and is now awaiting final endorsement. SNPA 5-year strategic plan has been developed and is also awaiting endorsement from the MEECC. Discussions with Min of Finance are ongoing for the financing of SNPA.	
	There is a World Bank appraisal mission next week. Approval of SWIOFISH project is expected in June. Project document will be distributed by April/May. Cabinet approved process of Blue Bonds yesterday 22 <sup>nd</sup> March with a USD 12m for Blue Investment Funds. There is a preparation grant from GEF for 140,000 USD and the programme of this grant is now being discussed.	

Agenda Item	Comment/Advice	Action_Response (with initials)
2.2. Updates from MSP core	<p>Updates were provided to the MSP SC members on the following;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Update on SeyCCAT – The new SEYCCAT CEO, Mr. Martin Callow was introduced.</li> <li>• An update on the integration with other marine projects in Seychelles including the Blue Bonds World Bank concept.</li> <li>• MSP Process Update: timeline and outputs for completing Phase 1.</li> <li>• Marine Spatial Plan document –the review process and timeline was discussed.</li> <li>• MSP Atlas – updates on timeline for production were provided.</li> <li>• MSP Policy – The consultant has been recruited and will start working on the MSP in April 2017. Cabinet approval has been granted for the drafting of the MSP Policy.</li> <li>• MSP Implementation Plan – The ToR for the implementation plan has been finalized and work should start in April 2017.</li> </ul>	
2.3. ToR for Socio-economic impact analysis.	<p>Members were briefed that the ToR draft has been reviewed by EIB and the Canadian MSP Planning process, but still needs further review (national). The analysis will be based on the boundaries of Phase I. Consultant to be recruited by June.</p>	<p>A.R., J.R., and A.L. offered to assist with the review of the ToR.</p>
	<p>It was pointed out that the level of the analysis needs to be clarified and that the ToR needs to be made specific to each of the sectors.</p>	<p>J.S.</p>
	<p>There was a proposal to nominate an FBOA and/or MSP WG member to assist with finalising of the ToR.</p>	<p>H.S. to contact FBOA/MSP WG.</p>
	<p>There was a proposal to nominate a representative from the Tourism sector to provide input on the ToR.</p>	<p>H.S. to contact Tourism.</p>
2.4. Summary of advice from Oct 2016	<p>Members of the SC were updated on the summary of advice received since Oct 2016.</p>	
	<p>Members were informed that the MSP core team will be giving a presentation to the islands and select committee of the National Assembly on Monday 3<sup>rd</sup> April at 1000hrs. The MSP core team will provide the presentation to the MSP SC for review beforehand.</p>	<p>J.S.</p>
2.5. Review of MSP Mini-Atlas and document packet	<p>A review of the documents provided (Annex III) was carried out and members were informed that the layers will be made available in DropBox.</p>	<p>J.S.</p>
	<p>It was pointed out that there is a more recent layer of the IMO shipping lanes and that the COI secretariat will have the latest international shipping lanes information.</p>	<p>J.S.</p>

Agenda Item	Comment/Advice	Action_Response (with initials)
	It was pointed out that here are high sea fisheries areas to be designated by law within the year. What about the existing EU fisheries agreements?	They would be effective in 2019. J.S.
<b>Coffee Break</b>		
3.0. MSP Zoning Design version 3.0	A presentation was given on the MSP zoning design 3.0 and the allowable activities table. Each area was then discussed separately to gauge through a 'temperature test' the views of MSP SC members for the MSP core team to bring these areas to further stakeholder reviews and discussions.	
3.1 Phase I Zone 1 High BD 5%.	The first area reviewed was area number 13, Aldabra, which is 5.5% of the EEZ and in a zone 1. Members were informed on the decisions for the area being categorised as a zone 1 which are summarised in the zoning design revisions table provide in participant's packet.	
	It was proposed that all lagoons in outer islands should be zone 1. Propose to have the shallow lagoons in all outer islands a zone1.	This can be earmarked for further discussions in Phase II as all territorial sea areas are interim zone 2 in Phase I of MSP. J.S. Lagoons are more of a micro level action and zone 1 macro level. A.G.J.
	Clarification was sought as to why the territorial sea in the Aldabra area is zone 1 and Cosmoledo Area is zone 2.	These are as per discussions and agreements made in the Aug 2016 meetings. J.S.
	It was pointed out that lagoon areas in the GoS-UNDP-GEF OIP amount to 76,000 ha which is a smaller scale but a parallel process to the MSP and can contribute to the area. These areas would be designated as agreed in Phase II timeline also.	
	A query was made as to whether these areas are going to be gazetted and if so, which categories would they be designated.	This is yet to be determined. J.S.
	<b>There being no further comments, all members present were asked whether they agreed to have area 13 taken to stakeholders for comments.</b>	<b>There was unanimous approval by the MSP SC to take area 13 forward to stakeholders for further review.</b>
3.2. Phase I Zone 2 Area 6 (Amirantes to Platte)	The second area reviewed was area number 6, Amirantes to Platte, which is 6.4% of the EEZ and in a zone 2. Members were informed on the decisions for the area being categorised as a zone 2 which are summarised in the zoning design revisions table provide in participant's packet.	
	It was pointed out that Desroches and Poivre in the Amirantes group, are being investigated for aquaculture and this needs to be kept in mind for future discussions.	Interim zone 2 for discussion as Zone 1 in next phase. J.S. There were concerns raised by SC members on the equilibrium of ecosystem if aquaculture (spp. introductions particularly) is allowed to occur in lagoons of the outer islands. G.S.

Agenda Item	Comment/Advice	Action_Response (with initials)
	A proposal was made to change the name of this Area from 'Amirantes' to 'Amirantes to Platte'.	J.S. Alternatively, can change the name to 'Amirantes to the Mahe Plateau'. J.P. In future can merge area 3, 4 and 6. J.S.
	Reference was made to Condition 3 in allowable activities table: 'Development proposals require a transparent and participatory process with all affected stakeholders' A proposal was made to remove the word 'affected' in this line as all stakeholders are 'affected'.	J.S.
	It was pointed out that there was a process to develop the Mahe plateau demersal fisheries management plan and now that zone 2 only covers the southern part of the plateau and the northern part remains a zone 3 needs to be factored into the management plan.	It was elaborated that not all the Mahe plateau is made a zone 2 as the voluntary exclusion area and port is excluded and remain a zone 3. There is also no requirement to use the entire plateau to meet the MSP goals. The Northern area is also left as zone 3 as not picked up on the Marxan.
	Concerns were raised regarding fishing activities in Desroches with current pressures from sea cucumber, sport fishing, semi-industrial on drop-off and subsistence fishing. Concern was with an increase in population on Desroches to approx. 500 people once the hotel opens and having subsistence fishing allowable will be a big pressure.	Definition of subsistence fishing to be revised. J.S.
	<b>There being no further comments, all members present were asked whether they agreed to have area 6 taken to stakeholders for comments.</b>	<b>There was unanimous approval by the MSP SC to take area 6 forward to stakeholders for further review.</b>
3.3. Phase I Zone 2 Area14 (Aldabra North)	The last area reviewed was area number 14, Aldabra North, which is X% of the EEZ and in a zone 2. Members were informed on the decisions for the area being categorised as a zone 2 which are summarised in the zoning design revisions table provide in participant's packet.	
	Concerns were raised that the maritime highway overlap in this zone.	No changes in IMO shipping lanes are being proposed by Seychelles for the MSP as per agreements made by GoS in 2014. J.S.
	It was pointed out that this area overlaps with one of the Petroleum areas and queries were whether it is compatible.	As per the allowable activities table, the activities are compatible with conditions. J.S.
	<b>There being no further comments, all members present were asked whether they agreed to have area 14 taken to stakeholders for comments.</b>	<b>There was unanimous approval by the MSP SC to take area 14 forward to stakeholders for further review.</b>
4.0. Wrap up	Following the morning's deliberations, it was summarised by the Co-Chair that (i) the Mini Atlas is helpful for members and (ii) there is unanimous approval of the Zoning design 3.0, Phase I proposal	

Agenda Item	Comment/Advice	Action_Response (with initials)
	totalling 15% of the EEZ to bring to wider stakeholder group for review.	
Meeting adjourned at 1230hrs.		
<b>Day 2.</b>		
5.0. Recap of day 1	<p>A summary of day 1 of MSP SC9 was provided. The main points of the re-cap included; -Input on zoning design 3.0</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Agreement on Area 13 (Zone 1) to move forward.</li> <li>- Agreement on Area 6 (Zone 2); NB Interim zone 2 in terr. sea &amp; l. fisheries agreements are not affected until after 2019.</li> <li>- Area 14 (zone 2). Concerns on the next phase of the MSP following a meeting with FBOA in the afternoon. Proposed revision to revise zoning design to flip area #11 to zone 2 and #14 as a zone 1.</li> <li>- Allowable activities table needs further review.</li> </ul>	
5.1 Area 11, Z1, Aldabra East.	The first area reviewed on day 2 was area number 11, Aldabra East, which is 1.72% of the EEZ and in a zone 1. Members were informed on the decisions for the area being categorised as a zone 1 which are summarised in the zoning design revisions table provide in participant's packet.	
	It was pointed out that the VMS data being used is since 2014. At that time there were less that 10 longliners. Since then techniques of longliners have changed to target more tuna and the fishery has grown with over 30 boats.	Data has been received for the next 4 yrs and this data is being updated. J.S.
	Members were queried as to whether they predict a significant change in distribution effort with the new data.	Yes there will be a change. K.A. There was a proposal to have the revised data before the decision on these areas are made. J.R.
	A review of the first Marxan output shows that the area North of Aldabra came up as a higher biodiversity and can support this area as a zone 1.	
	<b>MSP SC members present were asked whether they agreed to have areas Area 11&amp;14 as a z1 and z2 combination, taken to stakeholders for comments.</b>	<b>There was unanimous approval by the MSP SC to take areas 11 &amp; 14 (as a combination of z1 &amp; z2) forward to stakeholders for further review.</b>
5.2. Area 15 Z1, WIOMER upwelling area	The next area reviewed was area number 15, WIOMER Upwelling #47, which is 1.65% of the EEZ and in a zone 1. Members were informed on the decisions for the area being categorised as a zone 1 which are summarised in the zoning design revisions table provide in participant's packet.	

Agenda Item	Comment/Advice	Action_Response (with initials)
	<b>MSP SC members present were asked whether they agreed to have area 15 taken to stakeholders for comments.</b>	<b>There was unanimous approval by the MSP SC to take area 15 forward to stakeholders for further review.</b>
5.3. Area 16 Z1, Coco de Mer Seamount	The next area reviewed was area number 16, Coco de Mer seamount, which is 1.3% of the EEZ and in a zone 1. Members were informed on the decisions for the area being categorised as a zone 1 which are summarised in the zoning design revisions table provide in participant's packet.	
	The Coco de mer seamount extends to the outside the EEZ on the high seas. If protecting the half of the seamount which is inside our EEZ then we will be protecting the resource for exploitation and benefit of those outside of the EEZ. Additionally, the Coco de mer is important for the ruby snapper (Etelis) which is an alternative to the other demersal fish being exploited on the plateau. Excluding semi-industrial fishery from this area would mean no sentinels of the sea in this northern area of the EEZ.	Glenny- Eventually IOTC will dev quota basis for tuna through resolutions.  Red flag on coco-de-mer. J.S.
	This is a high conflict area here for purse seiner vs Semi-industrial longline. This is also an area that is already managed as currently semi-industrial fishery needs permit to go there. Would be best to meet with these long liners who go there to get their feedback.	This is an ideal Z2 as also has a man plan. SFA to get the data to MSP Core Team. A.L.
5.4. Area 5, zone 1, Mascarene Ridge	The next area reviewed was area number 5, Mascarene Ridge, which is 2.57% of the EEZ and in a zone 1. Members were informed on the decisions for the area being categorised as a zone 1 which are summarised in zoning design revisions table provide in participant's packet.	
	It was pointed out that there are very few longlines that go to this corner at this point in time but seeing as is north of the Mascarene plateau it may be interest for future deep sea fisheries.	
	<b>MSP SC members present were asked whether they agreed to have area 5 taken to stakeholders for comments.</b>	<b>There was unanimous approval by the MSP SC to take area 5 forward to stakeholders for further review.</b>
5.5. Area 8, Z1, Alphonse Group	It was pointed out that this area may need to move west to include the trench. The benthic features in this area not great compared to the NW of Mahe plateau.	This is the only area with this combination of features. MSP core team will need to discuss the representation goals with exec /ministerial levels and whether we can change this. J.S.
5.6.Allowable Activities Table, Semi-Industrial	It was argued that the main issue/pressure is the Industrial fisheries. Would it be possible to have semi-industrial fishing allowable with management conditions for the zone 1? Shifting the zone areas is	The plan can be revised periodically. Can make a note in the plan for the revision to be made in zone 1 in particular areas. Zone 1 are the key areas for BD

Agenda Item	Comment/Advice	Action_Response (with initials)
and Artisanal Fishing	short term but managing the fishery seems to be more permanent as involves a change in effort.	conservation. Decisions for zone 1 need to be backed up by data. A.D.
	It was brought to the attention of the committee that at some point the fishing activities will have to be broken down further (particularly purse seine) as they have very sophisticated data. They have many strategies to overcome any zoning design and these need to be foreseen.	
	It was raised that the Seychelles semi-industrial longliners are fishing 0.5% of the total Industrial Fisheries Catch, which is basically insignificant. The impact on the pelagic stock is quite negligible and that focus should be on the FADS with a more sustainable management approach.	The use of FADS is also causing a lot of damage to juvenile stocks and this needs to be controlled. G.S.
	It was stated that the artisanal fishery is very selective, being a fishery with low impacts, with very small (insignificant) extraction amounts compared to Industrial fisheries .One artisanal vessel brings 50t of fish in one year whilst one Industrial fishery vessel 2000t in one year. FBOA is happy to have management plans, controlled gear use etc. but not happy to be excluded completely owing to these.	One consideration can be that Aldabra is higher category in a Z1. You may have other areas in a Z1 that are in a different category. Activities that are sustainable should be compatible with Z1 if we are allowing sport fishing. Difference with the semi-industrial fishery is extraction while sport fishing is catch and release and mostly pelagic. G.S.
	The question was raised that if zone 1 is a no extraction area, why are there exceptions (petroleum allowed as well as sport fishing) while artisanal is being restricted? The z1 and z2 definitions were developed as a group and it is the stakeholders that define what is a zone 1 hence considering the impacts, why can we not include allowable conditions to artisanal and semi-industrial in in z1.	There is currently no data for sport, subsistence fishing for many of these areas. One possibility for the phased approach is to get better est. of these fisheries. J.S.
Petroleum	It was argued that though a Z1 will restrict petroleum development, if it is not policed and enforced properly fisheries activities will still be ongoing. The allowable act table of the MSP is being accepted by PetroSeychelles based on the assumption that there will be effective enforcement.	Most of the IUU's are reported by local fishermen and are foreigners. Together with VMS the monitoring will be possible. K.A., G.S.
MMP	It was pointed out that the mariculture category is also at different scales and will in future need to be split up similar to the different fisheries (e.g. coral farming vs. fish pens).	
	Members queried on the possibility that if Seychelles adopts a managed fishing approach & aquaculture (Allowable with conditions) in zone 1, whether it affect the targeted objective for the MSP?	-This would result in Z1 & Z2 looking almost the same. In 2014 the goal was 30% PA with 15% no take. J.S. -All Mariculture applications will have an EIA process and the acceptable sites will be determined through this process. Hence, the process for the Mariculture



Agenda Item	Comment/Advice	Action_Response (with initials)
		will be different than for the different existing fisheries. A.D.
<b>6.0 Summary</b>	<p>A summary of the discussion on the allowable activities was provided and main points raised being;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i) There is a concern for strict restrictions in z1.</li> <li>ii) The allowable activities restrict only some and not all activities.</li> <li>iii) Fish move. Management plans will be required for fisheries.</li> <li>iv) One possible discussion is identifying areas for no-take Z1. These are mostly shallow waters (lagoons and foraging areas). The remaining areas become Z2. The remaining EEZ is a Z2. Then Z1 is 12% and Z2 is a fisheries management area.</li> <li>v) There is no current distinction between Z1 &amp; Z2 with proposed changes now (other than purse seiners).</li> </ul>	<p>-A solution proposed is having an allowable activities table per area. A.D., J.S.</p> <p>- Having all areas exploited will not be acceptable to the CBD especially a high biodiversity area allowing fishing act. A.D.</p>
	<p><b>To close the meeting and as summary of the discussions over the past 2 days, the MSP SC members present were asked whether they agreed to have areas 5 (2.57% EEZ), 6 (6.4% EEZ), 11 (1.72% EEZ), 13 (5.5% EEZ), 14 (2.91% EEZ), 15 (1.65% EEZ), which totals 20.75% of the Seychelles EEZ, taken to stakeholders for comments.</b></p>	<p><b>There was unanimous approval by the MSP SC to take these areas forward to stakeholders for further review (G.S.,J.R, A.L., J.P., J.M.), provided that there are further discussions on the allowable activities tables. (A.G.J., A.R., K.A., P.S., A.D.).</b></p>
7.0 Closing	The Chair of the SC thanked all members for their participation and input. The next meeting will be scheduled in early May.	
Meeting adjourned at 11am.		

**Annex I: Meeting Agenda**

**MSP Steering Committee**

Meeting #9

Thursday 23 and Friday 24 March 2017

0900-1200 hrs

Location: ICCS Room 2

**DRAFT Agenda**

**Version 1**

Meeting Objectives:

3. To receive an update on the MSP Process and SeyCCAT
4. To provide input to MSP outputs including MSP Zoning Design version 3.0 and allowable activities table

#	Time	Topic
<b>Day 1: Thursday 23 March 2017</b>		
1	9:00	Opening – Principal Secretary Alain De Comarmond (Co-Chair) and Ms. Helena Sims <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Agenda review</li> <li>• Confirm Steering Committee member list &amp; welcome MSP Assistant</li> <li>• Approval of Steering Committee #8 minutes</li> </ul>
2	9:45	MSP Process and Technical Outputs – Dr. Joanna Smith and Ms. Helena Sims <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Update on SeyCCAT – CEO introductions</li> <li>• Integration with other marine projects in Seychelles</li> <li>• MSP Process Update: timeline and outputs for completing Phase 1</li> <li>• Marine Spatial Plan document – discuss the review process and timeline</li> <li>• MSP Atlas – update on timeline for production</li> <li>• MSP Policy – update</li> <li>• MSP Implementation Plan – overview</li> </ul>
	10:15	Tea break
3	10:30	MSP Outputs – Dr. Joanna Smith and Ms. Helena Sims <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• TOR for Socio-Economic impact analysis: fisheries and tourism</li> <li>• Summary of advice from October 2016</li> <li>• MSP Zoning Design version 3.0 and Allowable Activities Table</li> </ul>
4	11:55	Wrap-up, tomorrow’s agenda, and adjourn
<b>Day 2: Friday 24 March 2017</b>		
5	9:00	MSP Outputs, continued – Dr. Joanna Smith and Ms. Helena Sims <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• MSP Zoning Design version 3.0 and Allowable Activities Table</li> <li>• MSP Master List of Definitions</li> </ul>
	10:15	Tea break
6	11:45	Wrap-up, action items, next meeting and stakeholder consultation schedules and Adjourn

**Annex II: Attendance List of Members Present on Days 1 and 2 of Steering committee meeting 9**

Name	Organisation	Role on SC	23 Mar	24 Mar
Wills Agricole	PS Energy and Climate Change	MSP SC Co-Chair	+	
Alain de Comarmond	PS Environment	MSP SC Co-Chair	+	+
Cynthia Aglae	MLUH	MSP SC Member	+	
Justin Prosper	MEECC	MSP Data Manager	+	+
Glenny Savy	IDC	MSP SC Member	+	+
David Rowat	MCSS	MSP SC Member & Chairperson Marine Ecology TWG		
Pierre-Andre Adam	ICS	MSP SC Member & Vice-Chair Marine Ecology TWG		
Jeanne Mortimer	ICS	MSP SC Member & Vice Chair Terrestrial Ecology TWG	+	+
Virginie Lagarde	FBOA	MP SC Alternate member representing Fisheries Sector & Co-Chair Socio-economic TWG	+	
Paul Morin	FBOA	Representing FBOA	+	
Patrick Samson	PetroSeychelles	MSP SC member & Co-Chair Socio-economic TWG	+	+
Andrew Grieser-Johns	PCU P.C./Chief Technical Adviser	MSP SC Observer	+	+
Joanna Prosper	UNDP GEF Outer Island Project Manager	MSP SC Observer	+	
Jan Robinson	SFA	MSP SC Observer	+	+
Denis Matatiken	Special Advisor to Minister MEECC	Invited as potential new SC member (Ministry of Environment)	+	+
Andy Rylance	PCU (PA Finance Project)	Invited as MSP SC Observer	+	+
Daig Romain	PCU (PA Finance Project)	Invited as MSP SC Observer	+	
Dominique Benzaken	MFTBE	Invited as potential new SC alternate member (Blue Economy)		
Sabrina Renaud	MEECC	Admin Support		
Joanna Smith	TNC	MSP Project Science & Process Lead	+	+
Helena Sims	TNC	MSP Project Manager	+	+
Fadette Khan	Ministry of Finance	MSP SC Member	+	
Jude Bijoux	MAF & SFA	MSP SC Member & Co-Chair Socio-economic TWG		
Bernice Elizabeth	Dept. of Tourism	MSP SC Member representing tourism sector		
Flavien Joubert	SNPA	MSP SC Member		
Frauke Dogley	SIF	MSP SC Member & Chairperson - Terrestrial Ecology TWG		
Paul Hodoul	Tourism	MSP SC Member & Co-Chair Socio-economic TWG		
Keith Andre	FBOA	MSP SC Member & Co-Chair Socio-economic TWG		
Elisa Socrate	SFA	MSP SC Member		
Aubrey Lesperance	SFA	MSP SC Member	+	+

**Annex III: List of documents provided for the MSP SC9 Meeting**

- Agenda
- MSP SC 8 minutes
- MSP mini-atlas
- Seychelles MSP Zoning Design Revisions: comparing version 2.2 and 3.0 (10 March 2017)

**Annex IV: Signed attendance form MSP SC9 Meeting**

Name	Organisation	Email Update	Phone Update	23.03.2017	24.04.2017	Honorarium Received	Date
Wills Agricole	PS Energy & Climate change	W. gyndale e metos J.M. Sc	+248 271 1444				
Alain de Comarmond	PS Environment	aldecomarmond @gov.sc	2722980				
Fadette Khan	Ministry of Finance	f.khan@ finance.gov.sc	2719991				
Cynthia Algae	MLUH						
Jude Bijoux	MAF & SFA						
Bernice Elizabeth	Dept. of Tourism						
Justin Prosper	MSP Data Manager/MEECC	J.prosp@ env.gov.sc	2722301	J. Prosper	J. Prosper		
Mr Flavien Joubert	SNPA						
Glenny Savy	IDC	CEO@ idc.seychelles	4384640			-	28/3
Ronny Renaud	IDC						
Frauke Dogley	SIF						
Jeanne Mortimer	ICS	Jeanne A. MORTIMER @gmail.com	2506797				
David Rowat	MCSS						
Pierre Andre Adam	ICS						
Paul Hodoul	Tourism						



Keith Andre	FBOA	2710800	andree.kite@gnssci.com				
Virginie Lagarde	FBOA						
Patrick Samson	PetroSeychelles	2522006 2501220	P.Samson@petrosyhelles.com				
Elisa Socrate	SFA						
Andrew Grieser Johns	PCU Programme Coordinator/Chief Technical Adviser	a.grieserjohns@gpcsey.sc	2582964				
Joanna Prosper	UNDP GEF Outer Island Project Manager	j.prosper@pcsey.sc	2511717				
Ms Marie-May Jeremie	MEECC						
Aubrey Resperance.	SFA	alesperance@sfa.sc	2544020 4670314				
ANDY RYCARSCE	PCU	a.rycarsce@pcsey.sc	2609076				
Jean Robinson	SwioFish3	janrob@swiofish.com	2616044				
Denis Makken	MEECC	boone@seychelles.net	2723417				
Dag Ronain	PCU	d.ronain@pcsey.sc	2822413				
Paul Morin	FBOA	2783800	morin@groupseychelles.net				
Virginie Lagarde	FBOA	260584	lagarde.vir@gnssci.com				
<del>Jean Prosper</del>	<del>FBOA</del>						

24/103

24/103