

MSP Steering Committee #32

Date: Monday 26th June 2023 Time: 9:00 – 11:00 AM

Location: Eden Bleu Hotel, Conference Room, Eden Bleu, Mahé

Minutes

Meeting Objectives:

- 1. To review recommendations from SC and TWG on Allowable Activities Tables Zone 1, 2 and 3 and all associated documents: Codes, Master List of Definitions, General and Area-based Management Considerations.
- To provide the Steering Committee recommendations to Executive Committee on finalizing Allowable Activities Tables

Attendance:

Mr. Denis Matatiken - Co-Chair PS Environment, MACCE

Ms Sheriffa Morel rep- Mr Roy Clarisse – PS for Fisheries, MFBE

Mr Rodney Quatre- DG MACCE

Mrs. Bernice Senaratne- Dept. of Tourism

Ms Marie-Antoinette Micock alt. - Mrs. Bernice Senaratne- Dept. of Tourism

Dr. Frauke Fleischer-Dogley -SIF

Ms Kelsy Gill alt-SOA, MACCE

Mr Chris Mason-Parker - MCSS

Mr Gregory Burke – ICS – Alternate ICS CEO

Mrs. Joanna Prosper - GoS-UNDP-GEF Ridge to Reef Project Manager

Dr. Jan Robinson-SWIOFISH3

Dr. Murray Duncan- Unisey alt

Ms. Marie-May Jeremie - CEO SeyCCAT

Mrs. Vania Robert, Finance and Human Resources Manager SeyCCAT (Alternate SeyCCAT)

Mr. Dean Dupres- rep Mr. Aubrey Lesperance - SFA (Mariculture)

Absent with apologies:

Mr. Tony Imaduwa- Co-Chair, Acting PS Climate Change, MACCE

Mr Wills Agricole - Technical Advisor - MACCE

Mr. Chrissant Barbe- Blue Economy department

Mr Vincent Lucas - SFA

Ms Thessia Kilindo alt. SWIOFISH3

Ms Sylvanna Antat- UniSey

Captain Joachim Valmont - SMSA- Chair of Maritime Security TWG

Mr. Lindsay Chong-Seng- Plant Conservation Action Group - Chair of Terrestrial Ecology TWG

Mr Norman Weber– ICS- Vice-Chair, Marine Ecology TWG

Mrs Cindy Chang-Leng – Programme Coordinator PCU

Mr. Peter Purvis (alt. Mr. Martin Denniel)- Industrial Tuna Fisheries (Purse Seine) rep.



Mr. Patrick Samson – PetroSeychelles; Chair of Energy & Infrastructure TWG

Mr Amit Wasseberg- SHTA- Vice Chair of Tourism TWG

Brian Charlette/Jennifer Jasmine (alternate), MFTEP- nomination as Chair of Finance and implementation TWG

Dr. Jeanne Mortimer –independent consultant

Mrs. Daniella Payet Allis-SSTF

Mr. Keith Andre - FBOA

Ms Ashley Dias-Director, Biodiversity Conservation and Management, MACCE

Ms Elisa Socrate- SFA

Miss Cynthia Adrienne -GIS Section, MLH

Mr. Allen Cedras–SPGA Mr. Glenny Savy - IDC

MSP Core Team

Joanna Smith-Science and Process lead, Seychelles MSP initiative, TNC Canada Helena Sims- Project Manager, Seychelles MSP initiative, TNC Elke Talma- SeyCCAT Project coordinator

Minutes:

Agenda Item #	Agenda Item	Comment/Advice	Action_Response (with initials)
1.0	Welcome	The DG for Environment, MACCE, welcomed all the participants to the meeting.	
1.1	Agenda Review	The agenda of the meeting was reviewed and adopted without any changes.	Annex I
1.20	Introductions	There was a round of introductions from the SC members.	
1.21		The SMSP provided a brief overview of the participant packet contents.	Annex II
2.0	Allowable Activities Tables (AAT) to finalise	A summary of recommendations was provided by the SMSP core team to the SC#32 from SC#31/TWG#28 which was held on the 22 nd June: Adopt AAT Zone 1 - Yes. Adopt AAT Zone 2- Yes. * jet skis Adopt AAT Zone 3- Yes. Adopt Master List of Definitions- Yes. Adopt Codes- Yes. Adopt General and Area-based Management Considerations- Yes.	
2.10	Zone 1	The SMSP sore team provided a summary of the issues to address: a. Subsistence. Assomption Island development? b. Dredge spoils from essential Coastal dredging? c. Renewable energy in Zone 1 instead of fossil fuels?	



Agenda Item #	Agenda Item	Comment/Advice	Action_Response (with initials)
		Minor Questions:	
		d. Ferries and Transportation. Remove #19?	
2.110	Subsistence.	SMSP core team advised SC that a flag was raised	
	Assomption Island	pertaining to a potential new tourism development	
	development?	on Assomption and that subsistence fishing is not	
		permitted in Zone 1 on islands with commercial	
		activities. A query had been made from the	
		SC/TWG about how this applies to workers during	
		construction phase, ICS and coast guards based on	
		the island. SMSP core team reminded members	
		that Assomption is part of the gazetted Aldabra Group MNP and activities would also be addressed	
		through a management plan. SMSP team confirmed	
		that there is a proposed draft management unit	
		boundary around Assomption.	
2.111	Subsistence Fishing	SC members commented that subsistence fishing as	SC members agreed that
		per the definition, is conditionally allowable (code	there should be no
		1, 5, 7) with set requirements and informed	exceptions.
		members that as an example, when the cyclone	•
		centre was built on Aldabra, there were workers of	
		multiple nationalities and that these workers had	
		their own provisions. Hence, in that case it was not	
		an issue. It would be important to consider the	
	-	nationality of workers and their diet.	
2.112		SC member representatives from the ICS flagged	The SMSP core team
		that the condition describing no subsistence fishing	reminded members that
		where commercial activities occur will be an issue	during the milestone 3
		for ICS who would be required to carry out	zoning phase there was
		conservation activities on those outer islands, especially in the construction phase(s) when	agreement from IDC and ICS that even if there
		development is being carried and that at that time	may be tourism
		there will be no hotel (staff canteen).	development on
		there will be no noter (stair canteen).	Assmoption, there would
			be no activities proposed
			requiring extraction and
			there was agreement for
			the Z1 at Assmoption. JS.
2.113		Members deliberated on the proposal of removing	There was no agreement
		with the exception of research in the definitions.	as if one group is allowed
			to fish then this becomes
	_		a grey area and loophole.
2.114		Members advised that the IDC is currently not	
		allowing fishing on Assomption due to the health	
		and safety hazards and that there would need to be	
2 115	Desision subsisters	an approval from IDC.	
2.115	Decision – subsistence	There was agreement from the SC that the	
	fishing in Zone 1	definition is adequate for subsistence fishing and that the codes cover the requirements.	
2.120	Dredging – Zone 1	The SMSP core team highlighted that coastal	
2.120	Dieuging – Zone I	dredging is permitted in zone 1 for essential access	



Agenda Item #	Agenda Item	Comment/Advice	Action_Response (with initials)
item#		(e.g. channels). The SC/TWG noted the need for	IIIILIais)
		designated disposal site(s) for dredge spoils and	
		proposed that the SMSP needs to consider how to	
		address this in the definition as if there is dredging,	
		then disposal of spoils also need to be considered.	
		then disposar or spons also freed to be considered.	
		Review of dreding coastal definition with added –	
		The disposal of dredge spoils is not allowable in	
		Zone 1 and Zone 2 areas so this will need to be	
		included in the EIA and other environmental review	
		process to address the location for dredge spoils	
		during dredging activity.	
2.121		The SC discussed that there would not be a	
		requirement to dredge in Assomption but in	
		addition, if the boundary of the zone is too far, the	
		spoils can be dumped on land.	
2.122	Decision – all tables	The SC discussed as to whether the activity would	Agreement to merge the
		be an X or conditional with a code 1 as it would	activites.
		depend on the ESIA.	Agreement same codes
		The SC proposed to move dredge spoils to the line	would apply.
		dredging to read coastal and dredge spoils across	would apply.
		all the AAT tables.	Coastal Dredging and
			Dredge Spoils- C 1,5,19
			(Z1/Z2), C1,5 (Z3)
2.123	Decision – dredging	The SC agreed that with the amendment of the	
	definition	definition proposed to include:	
		The disposal of dredge spoils is not allowable in	
		Zone 1 and Zone 2 areas so this will need to be	
		included in the EIA and other environmental review	
		process to address the location for dredge spoils	
2.130	Renewable energy in	during coastal dredging activity. The SMSP core team updated the SC on discussion	Agreement
2.130	Zone 1	pertaining to renewable energy being not allowable	Agreement
	Zone i	in a Zone 1 and that as the SMSP is addressing CC	
		adaptation as an objective, it proposes a	
		clarification that at this time, the renewable energy	
		would be applicable for on land (terrestrial).	
2.131	1	The SC discussed on the trade-offs of marine	The SC was reminded
		renewable energy vs terrestrial renewable energy.	that there are some
			general management
			considerations listing
			impacts of renewable
			energy (GMC#4). JS.
2.132		The SC discussed the protection status of marine vs	
		a terrestrial areas that are not protected and that	
		areas that are not in a protection status will already	
		have a prioritisation with conditions further	
		described as per an EIA process and compatibility.	



Agenda Item #	Agenda Item	Comment/Advice	Action_Response (with initials)
2.133		SC members proposed that solar development can be inbuilt in the infrastructure to minimise impact. On Aldabra, the renewable energy options were analysed including wind and the analysis showed that wind was not viable for Aldabra and this was shared with IDC. There was also one for tidal and it was also shown to be not feasible.	
2.134	Decision	There was agreement from the SC to keep the AAT as is.	
2.140	d- ferries and transportation	The SMSP presented on the proposal from the SC/TWG to remove c19 – as it not just for essential access due to the right of passage.	
2.141	decision	There was agreement to remove C19 in ferries and transportation.	
2.150	C22 IPS supply vessel	The SC deliberated the proposal of adding C22 to Industrial PS supply vessel.	There was no agreement from the SC as it covered under C14.
2.160	Others	No other comments were raised from the SC for Z1 AAT.	
2.20	Zone 2	The SMSP core team summarised the sssues to address to the SC which include: Code 11: Motorised activities Minor Questions: Add C #22 to Purse Seine, Free School	
2.210	C11	The SMSP core team reminded the SC that in the last EC there was a recommendation to apply C11 on motorised activities, but with a recorded official reservation from Tourism on adding C11 to motorised activities in Z2 as this would imply no jet skis would be allowable in all Zone 2 areas. The SC was reminded that for there is a recommended preference for consistency and not for exceptions (speed, time, distance etc).	
2.211		The SC deliberated on the use of these devices in outer islands with a note of use of underwater scooters in outer islands for diving.	
2.212	Decision- add C11 for research	There was agreement from the SC on the proposal to add C11 for all research activities; bioprospecting to scientific research and monitoring.	Agreement
2.213	Decision – C11 motorised activities.	The SC provided the recommendation to add C11 on motorized activities with a noted reservation.	
2.214		No other comments were raised from the SC on the AAT for Z2.	
2.220	C22 IPS – free school	There was agreement from the SC to add C#22 to purse seine free school for the Zone 2 AAT.	Agreement
2.30	Zone 3	The SMSP core team summarised the issues to address to the SC for the AAT Zone 3. These were primarily minor Questions:	



Agenda Item #	Agenda Item	Comment/Advice	Action_Response (with initials)
		 Industrial Purse Seine. Restore Code #22 Aquaculture, All 3 – remove #11. 	
2.31		The SMSP core team advised the SC that it had been recommended that for Industrial Purse Seine (IPS), C22 should be added – for associated school and supply vessel (retrieval is included in FAD management plan). This also covers free school with respect to the definition of free school in relation to a FAD.	ADD c22 to all three IPS.
2.32	Decision	There was agreement from the SC to add C22 to all IPS activities.	agreement
2.33	Others	SC members raised a query as to whether C11 would apply in Z3 for the 3 aquaculture activities?	There was agreement from the SC to remove C11 in all 3 aquaculture activities in Z3 as does it not apply.
2.34		There were no other recommended changes to the AAT Z3 from the SC.	
2.40	General Management and Area-based Management Considerations	The SMSP core team summarised the recommendations from the SC/TWG on the General Management and area-based management considerations to the SC: #5: UNCLOS. New text #13: Phasing. Is more info needed? #16: Sustainable Tourism. Criteria for Zone 2, mostly fishing (p8). Applies also to Zone 1? #37. Dredging, coastal. New text. Formatting for Marine Spatial Plan: Group General Management Considerations in themes. Align General Management Considerations with Codes.	
2.410	#5 UNCLOS	Discussions on general management consideration (GMC) #5	
2.411		SC members queries as to if UNCLOS is stated what about MARPOL?	SMSP core team proposed to reference GMC #3. There is also a proposal to list all conventions and treaties Seychelles is a party to. JS.
2.412		There was a proposal from the SC to moveGMC #5 directly under GMC #3	SC members discussed that this is also important to set Monitoring Control and Surveillance (MCS)



Agenda Item #	Agenda Item	Comment/Advice	Action_Response (with initials)
			framework for Seychelles.
2.413	Decision	There was agreement from the SC on the new text I GMC #5 and to move to GMC #3.	
2.420	#13 Phased approach	The SMSP core team summarised that the details of MSP phased approach to implementation will be in the Marine Spatial Plan document and queries as to whether more information is needed.	
2.421	Decision	There was agreement from the SC that no additional information is needed.	
2.422		There was a proposal from the SC to combine #13 and #14 for when it comes into effect.	To be discussed.
2.430	#16 – sustainable tourism	The SMSP core team brough to the attention of the SC the #16 on Sustainable Tourism and that the criteria for Zone 2, mostly fishing (p8). There was a query as to whether this also applies to Zone 1?	
2.431		SC members flagged that when it comes to the criteria of the prohibition of catch of IUCN red list species, one cannot control the catch. It was proposed to change the description to the prohibition of retaining IUCN red-list species.	
2.432		The SC further queries as to which species would be on the red-list and that clarity os needed as to whether this pertains to CE, E, T species.	
2.433		SC members responded with the possibility that the criteria be based on the status at that point in time so it should state; C, E, T species specific to the current IUCN site/list.	
2.434		SC members flagged that these are in the context of tourism but that implementation of these measures will be by the SFA and that hence they need to reflect the fisheries legislation for enforcement – either through legislation, fisheries management plans or the management plans with fisheries requirements.	SMSP core team agreed that the management plans need to align with the SMSP and that the process is circular. JS.
2.435		The SMSP core team re-iterated this example as to the importance of the alignment of the WABPA review and the fisheries act review to ensure the lists of protected species are the same.	
2.436	Decision	There was agreement from the SC that for the IUCN Categories these should apply to – CR, EN, V, NT	
2.440	May vs shall	SC members flagged that the wording in the criteria for sustainability states 'may' include. A concern was raised as to whether this needs to be captured if it is optional to include?	SMSP core team clarified that a lot of plans being developed cannot be prescriptive but that it is important that such comments are captured



Agenda Item #	Agenda Item	Comment/Advice	Action_Response (with initials)
			to show that it was
			discussed. JS.
2.441		Some SC members proposed to change the wording from 'may' to 'shall'.	
2.442		SC members reminded the SC that there is also a	
		fisheries harvest strategy policy that defines	
		sustainability for all types of fishing and includes criteria other than those included in the general	
		management considerations.	
2.443	Decision	There was agreement form the SC to add 'shall	
2.1.10	2 00.01011	include and is not limited to' to the GMC.	
2.444	Should it be included in	The SMSP core team reminded the SC as to in the	
	Z1?	first paragraph with the sustainability criteria,	
		should it apply in Z1?	
2.445	Decision	There was agreement from the SC to apply the	
		criteria to Z1	
2.450	#37. Dredging, coastal.	SMSP summarised to the SC that the new text	Agreement from the SC
	New text.	proposed for #37. Code 5: For coastal dredging	on the new text.
		related to essential access and/or infrastructure in	
		Zone 1 and Zone 2 areas, there is a distinction between local dredging and new development.	
		Local dredging may be needed for essential access	
		to create and/or maintain a navigable channel to	
		the atoll or island to manage the marine protection	
		area. New development on land for tourism	
		activities is different from essential access for	
		management of marine protection area.	
		The SMSP clarified that this is added to	
		differentiate between maintenance vs a new	
		development as a follow up from a TWG member	
2.451	_	note.	
2.451		Some SC members queried as to whether this is needed as a new development would be captured	
		under an EIA.	
2.460	Formatting	The SMSP core team proposed some formatting for	
		the Marine Spatial Plan:	
		-Group General Management Considerations in	
		themes.	
		-Align General Management Considerations with	
		Codes.	
2.470	Group General	There was agreement from the SC on the proposal	
	Management Considerations in	to group the general management considerations to themes.	
	themes.	to themes.	
2.480	Align General	The SMSP core team presented a proposal to align	
2.700	Management	the general management considerations to codes	
	Considerations with	such and have explanatory notes for the some	
	Codes.	codes.	



Agenda Item #	Agenda Item	Comment/Advice	Action_Response (with initials)
2.481		Some SC members queried on the feasibility of this	SMSP advised that they
2.401		approach.	will get assistance to
		approach.	clean up the tables.
			Some text may be
			needed to clarify some of
			these terms. See Pg 3 of
			summary of revisions
			document in Annex II.
2.482		SC members expressed the need of a 1 pager on	There was agreement
		how to use the AAT and codes – like a key	and SMSP will seek
		document.	advice on best
			presentation of the
			documents for all
			stakeholders to follow
			and use. JS.
		There were no other comments on the GM	
	a 1 004	considerations from the SC.	
2.50	Codes - C21 removed	The SC summarised that the code #21 had been	There were no objections
		removed and that the text added to General (#35)	from the SC.
		and Zone 3 to capture the sites in the inner islands.	
2.51	Codes- formatting	The SMSP core team summarised the steps to	
		formatting for Marine Spatial Plan:	
		Once tables are final, the Code numbers will be	
		edited so that they are sequential. A 'look-up table'	
		will link the old and new numbers and be archived.	
2.52		There were no other comments received from the	
		SC on the codes.	
2.60	Definitions	The following definitions were discussed in the SC	
		meeting:	
		Subsistence Fishing. Workers in Zone 1 and 2	
		Ballast Water and Bilge Dumping: ballast water	
		exchange demarcated NE of Bird Island, 80 nm	
		from port (2006)[SMSA to confirm]	
		Disposal, Dumping, Dredge Spoils. Beach	
		nourishment added. Motorised devices. small vessel and Personal	
		Watercraft	
		Hydrographic surveys. Edit.	
		Scientific Geophysical Surveys. Edit for Zone 1	
2.610	Subsistence fishing	Refer to the notes in AAT Z1	
2.620	Ballast water and bilge	Definition of Ballast Water and Bilge Dumping:	No objections from the
-	dumping	ballast water exchange demarcated NE of Bird	SC.
		Island, 80 nm from port (2006) [SMSA to confirm].	
2.621	1	SC members from MACCE advised that there should	SMSA to provide
		be one demarcated in the North and one in the	location(s).
		South but maybe it is not legislated.	



Agenda Item #	Agenda Item	Comment/Advice	Action_Response (with initials)
2.630	Disposal, Dumping, Dredge Spoils	Definition of Disposal, Dumping, Dredge Spoils; Beach nourishment definition from the EU added (pg 5).	No objections from the SC.
2.631		The SC noted that for larger developments, an EIA is required.	
2.632		Some members flagged that although in Z1 and Z2 this is not allowed, beach nourishment is already being carried outin Z1 and Z2 eg; Desroches, Poivre,	
2.633		SC members discussed on the potential confusion of nourishment with reclamation and whether to have a separate line on beach nourishment?	Disucssion on the oversight for this activity being MACCE, sand & gravel commission & MLH.
2.634		There was agreement from the SC to have a new activity for beach nourishment in maritime infrastructure, using the definition in the masterlist of definition (noting that it is not reclamation) and applying the following codes: Z1- X Z2- C1, 5 Z3- C1, 5	
2.635		SC stressed the importance that the definition is clear that it is not applicable to reclamation which is considered separately.	
2.640	Motorised devices	The SMSP core team summarised that motorised devices would apply to small vessels and Personal Watercraft (pg 9).	No objections from the SC.
2.641		The SMSP core team noted that text in bold in the document are in the AAT, Regular font are definitions for clarification and that the Motorised devices definition is for clarification.	
2.642		SC members from tourism clarified the opinion that the definition focuses mostly on personal use devices and not for commercial uses and proposed an edit made to add personal watercraft.	
2.643		The SC discussed on drones and aircraft.	The SC was reminded that drones are sometimes used for fishing activities. MD
2.644		The SC discussed differentiating between ROVs and UnderWater drone and as ROV are tethered and often used for redearch.	The edits were made with no objections.
2.650	Hydrographic surveys. Edit.	The SMSP core team summarised the edits with a definition from NOAA for clarity on techniques for hydrographic surveys and the link with the UK HO.	No objections from the SC.
2.660	Scientific Geophysical Surveys.	The SMSP core team summarised the edit for Zone 1: Interest to conduct scientific geophysical surveys and research may include areas where petroleum exploration and development are not allowable in	No objections from the SC.



Agenda Item #	Agenda Item	Comment/Advice	Action_Response (with initials)
		order to evaluate the spatial extent of geologic features in the Earth's subsurface beyond the exploration site(s).	
2.670	Others – semi- industrial	SC members queried as to why the semi-industrial fishing and hand gathering activities are together in the definitions.	SMSP confirmed that this includes sea cucumber fishing as per SFA. JS.
2.671		SC members flagged that hand gathering includes octopus and lobster fishing and proposed that the definition specifies that it applies to sea cucumber.	
2.672		Some SC members felt that if sea cucumber is explicitly listed in the the semi-industrial definition then it would exclude other activities.	The SC agreed to edit the definition to add; this currently includes sea cucumber fishery un the semi-industrial, hand gathering definition.
2.680	Bunkering at sea	The SMSP confirmed that it is awaiting clarification on bunkering at sea and bunkering fishing vessels to determine whether it can merge these activities.	
2.690	Artisanal fishing and hand gleaning.	SC members flagged that for the octopus fishery – hang gathering gleaning is not captured in the definition.	SC confirmed that SFA advised this is now considered as small-scale fishing. JS.
2.691		SMSP confirmed to the SC that it needs definitions from SFA for small scale fisheries.	SFA
2.70	Sustainability	SC members flagged that 'sustainability' is an outstanding definition.	MACCE.
2.710	IPS, associated school	SC members advised that the definition of IPS, associated school does not capture that boats are not allowed to be used to aggregate tuna.	SMSP mentioned that this is covered through associated school and floating objects. JS.
2.711		SMSP proposed to add- 'see also the Seychelles FAD management plan and related IOTC definitions' in this definition.	No objections from the SC.
3.0	Steering Committee Recommendations on Allowable Activities Tables	The SMSP core team upated the SC on the use of gradients of agreement for decisions.	
3.10	Recommendations to Executive Committee	The SMSP Core team summarised the agreements from the SC for recomendaitons to the EC.	
3.11	Adopt Z1	Unanimous Agreement	
3.12	Adopt Z2	Agreement with 1 reservation on C11- motorised activities noted.	
3.13	Adopt Z3	Unanimous agreement.	
3.14	GABMC with formatting	Unanimous agreement with proposed changes.	
3.15	Codes	Agreement with 1 reservation on C11 motorised activities	



Agenda Item #	Agenda Item	Comment/Advice	Action_Response (with initials)
3.16	Master list of definitions	Unanimous agreement with proposed changes.	
4.0	Wrap up and Next		
4.1	steps	The SC queries as to whether feedback will be provided after the EC on the decisions made.	SMSP to provide feedback to the SC on the decisions. JS., HS.
4.2		The SMSP core team reminded the SC that the steps on implementation will be discussed in the next meeting.	
4.3		The SMSP core team updated the SC that there will be a meeting with the AGO and MACCE for steps to finalise the plan and sign into law - The SMSP core team will look to the EC for decisions and Q3 workplan for the next date for consultations Sept/oct - The SMSP core team confirmed the plan to finalise the MSP in 2023 and implementation in 2024.	
6.0	Adjourn		
		Meeting adjourned at 1221hrs.	

Minutes prepared by Helena Sims, with assistance from Elke Talma, and submitted on 26 Oct 2023.



Annex I: Meeting Agenda

SEYCHELLES MARINE SPATIAL PLAN (MSP) INITIATIVE

MSP Steering Committee #32

Date: Monday 26th June 2023 Time: 9:00 – 11:00 AM

Location: Eden Bleu Hotel, Conference Room, Eden Bleu, Mahé

DRAFT Agenda

Meeting Objectives:

- 1. To review recommendations from SC and TWG on Allowable Activities Tables Zone 1, 2 and 3 and all associated documents: Codes, Master List of Definitions, General and Area-based Management Considerations.
- 2. To provide the Steering Committee recommendations to Executive Committee on finalizing Allowable Activities Tables

#	Time	Topic
	8:30 AM	Arrival and Registration
	0.50 AIVI	Coffee and snacks provided
1	9:00 AM	Meeting Opening – Steering Committee Chair MACCE
		Welcome – MACCE
		Agenda review – MACCE
		Introductions SC members
		Finalising Allowable Activities Tables - Dr. Joanna Smith and Ms. Helena Sims
		Review the SC #31 and TWG #23 recommendations on Allowable Activities Tables and associated documents:
2	9:20 AM	i. Zone 1
		ii. Zone 2 iii. Zone 3
		iv. General and Area-based Management Considerations
		v. Codes
		vi. Definitions
		Steering Committee Recommendations on Allowable Activities Tables
3	10:15 AM	i. Recommendations to Executive Committee
4	10:55 AM	Wrap up and Next steps
	11:00 AM	Adjourn



Annex II: List of documents provided and in shared dropbox:

https://www.dropbox.com/sh/sa7hyxupmh40bi0/AABN5UL987r0ImUxOn NJHbSa?dl=0

SEYMSP_SC32_PPT1_20230626_v1_draft SEYMSP_SC32_Agenda 26Jun2023_draft

SEYMSP_ActivitiesTable_Revisions_ALL_20230626_v3

SEYMSP MasterList Definitions AllowableActivities 20230626

SEYMSP_ActivitiesTable_Completion_Codes_20230626

SEYMSP_ActivitiesTable_Completion_GenMgmt_20230626

SEYMSP_ActivitiesTable_Completion_Zone1_20230626_no21

SEYMSP_ActivitiesTable_Completion_Zone2_20230626_no21

SEYMSP_ActivitiesTable_Completion_Zone3_20230626_no21

Tracked edits from SC31 TWG 23 meeting shared:

SEYMSP ActivitiesTable Completion Zone3 20230622 SC31 TWG28 tracked

SEYMSP MasterList Definitions AllowableActivities 20230622 SC31 TWG28 tracked

SEYMSP_ActivitiesTable_Completion_Codes_20230622_SC31_TWG28_tracked

SEYMSP ActivitiesTable Completion GenMgmt 20230622 SC31 TWG28 tracked

 $SEYMSP_Activities Table_Completion_Zone1_20230622_SC31_TWG28_tracked$

 $SEYMSP_Activities Table_Completion_Zone 2_20230622_SC31_TWG28_tracked$